INFLUENCE OF INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT ON THE GROWTH, YIELD AND SUGAR CONTENT OF TROPICAL SUGARBEET (Beta vulgaris L.)

*Swapan Kumar Paul, *Shabuj Chandra Paul, *Abdur Rahman Sarkar and **Md. Sohrab Hossain *Department of Agronomy, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh 2202, Bangladesh **Bangladesh Sugarcrop Research Institute, Ishurdi, Pabna, Bangladesh *Corresponding author's E-mail: <u>skpaul@bau.edu.bd</u>

ABSTRACT

The experiment was conducted at the Agronomy Field Laboratory, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh during November 2016 to April 2017 to find out the influence of integrated nutrient management on the growth, yield and sugar content of tropical sugarbeet. The experiment comprised two tropical sugarbeet varieties viz.PAC-60008 and SV-887 and nine nutrient managements viz. Cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹, Poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹, Recommended dose of chemical fertilizer (NPKSZnB @ 135-25-133-18-3.5-1.2 kg ha⁻¹), 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹, 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹, 50% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹, 50% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹, 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 5 t ha⁻¹ and 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹ ¹.The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design with three replications. The tallest plant (57.25 cm, at 100 DAS) was recorded in PAC-60008 fertilized with NPKSZnB @ 135-25-133-18-3.5-1.2 kg ha⁻¹ while the highest number of leaves plant⁻¹(36.83, at 100DAS) was found in SV-887 with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹ and the highest SPAD value (87.20, at 80 DAS) was recorded in SV-887 fertilized with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹. The highest top length (50.33 cm) and top yield (38.67 t ha⁻¹) at harvest were recorded in SV-887 fertilized with NPKSZnB @ 135-25-133-18-3.5-1.2 kg ha⁻¹and PAC-60008 fertilized with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹, respectively. The highest beet girth (38.58 cm), average beet weight (0.98 kg) and beet yield (98.18 t ha⁻¹) were recorded in PAC-60008 fertilized with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @5 t ha⁻¹. The highest values of beet girth, average beet weight and beet yield were at par in PAC-60008 fertilized with NPKSZnB @ 135-25-133-18-3.5-1.2 kg ha⁻¹ and PAC-60008 fertilized with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹while the lowest beet yield (22.10 t ha⁻¹) was recorded in SV-887 fertilized with cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹. The highest brix (18 %) was recorded at harvest (150 DAS) in PAC-60008 fertilized with 50% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹while the lowest brix (14.67%) was obtained in SV-887 with poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹, and 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 5 t ha⁻¹. Therefore, variety PAC-60008 fertilized with 75% recommended dose of NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 2.5 appears as the promising combination in terms of beet yield.

Key words: Sugarbeet, nutrient management, growth, yield, sugar content

INTRODUCTION

Sugarbeet (*Beta vulgarisL.*), is a temperate crop and its

root contains high concentration of sucrose. Sugarbeet is the second most important sugar crop next to sugarcane that covers 30-40 % world sugar. Recently, some tropical sugarbeet varieties have been

which developed can be grown in tropical and subtropical regions of the world. The current production of sugar in Bangladesh is meeting about 5% of total demand and 20% of total requirement covers with jaggery mainly from and rest 75% sugarcane sugar demand is fulfilled by importation (Rahman et al., 2016). The main causes of lower production sugar include less vlqquz of sugarcane in the factories and very poor sugar recovery. In Bangladesh, due to acute shortage of sugarcane as raw materials most of the sugar mills remain inoperative for longer period of time in every year. The area under cane cultivation is drastically reduced due to pressure of and other cereals shortduration crops, which cause lower amount of sugarcane production. Sugarbeet has got many benefits compared to sugarcane due to short duration with high sucrose contents. In this regard sugarbeet might be an alternative excellent to sugarcane in Bangladesh by enhancing processing facilities in the existing sugar mills. The Government of Bangladesh is emphasizing attainment of selfthe sufficiency in sugar and jaggery production by introducing sugarbeet in the country and boosting up the production. sugarcane Feasibility of sugarbeet cultivation in Bangladesh is under trial although some people are growing low sucrose containing genotype vegetable salad and as purposes. Agronomic

practices such as variety, spacing and fertilizer management are important for appreciable root yield and quality of tropical sugarbeet (Paul et al., 2018). Soil health condition in Bangladesh has been drastically deteriorated in the last couple of decades, in inverse relation with the target of producing more crops from a small amount of land. Nitrogen fertilization can improve leaf area. photosynthetic rate and eventually high yield (Cai and Ge, 2004). Phosphorus is the second most important nutrient for sugar beet production. Phosphorus plays an important role in energy transfer within the plants and structural integrity of cell membrane. Application of 120 kg P_2O_5 and 100 kg N ha⁻¹ resulted in higher beet and sugar yield (Khan, 2003). Although enhanced dosage of sole N fertilizer increases growth and yield of sugar crops, it raises the sugar to molasses losses and ultimately lower sugar recovery (Salami and Saadat, Balanced 2013). crop nutrition could enhance crop and sugar yields significantly (30-60%)1 kq as of macronutrients produces about 114 kg of stripped sugarcane (Soomro et al., 2014). A crop having yield of 100 tons per hectare uptakes 207 kg N, 30 kg P₂O₅ and 233 kg K₂O from the soil (Jagtap et al., 2006). Manure is also important for sugarbeet yield because of containing all macro and micro nutrients required for plant growth but in small amount. Farm yard manure increased the sugar yield by 10% when applied at the rate of 20 t ha⁻¹ compared to control plots (Javaheriet al., 2005). Hergert and Nielsen (2011) reported that of manure increased sugar yield significantly with no significant effect on sugar loss to molasses. So, manure could be a valuable source of nutrients for sugarbeet because it mineralizes slowly which can affect sugar impurities. content and Topcuoğlu and Önal (2005) reported that application of poultry manure @ 10 t ha⁻¹ increased yields and sugar content of sugarbeet. There is no denying the need of using chemical fertilizers and adopting multiple cropping to meet the growing demand of food, but the farmers should at the same time be encouraged to use more organic fertilizer along with the chemical ones to recover the soil health. Now-a-day, attention has been directed towards organic manure because of the rising cost of inorganic fertilizers coupled with their inability to give the soil the desired sound health. Combined application of with inorganic manure fertilizers increased vield and quality of various crops were elsewhere reported (Oyedejiet al., 2014; Pal et al.. 2016; Ahmad et al., 2016). Cowdung and poultry manure are the excellent soil amendment that provides nutrients for growing crops and also improves soil health when applied wisely because of organic matter content combined with available nutrients for plant growth and development. In Bangladesh no systematic research work in this area has so far been

PSJ April-June, 2018

done particularly in sugarbeet cultivation. As a promising sugar crop in Bangladesh, the feasibility study of sugarbeet varieties under various nutrient management are needed be studied. to Therefore, the present study was undertaken with a view delineating to the performance of sugarbeet varieties with variable nutrient management practices.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental sites and experimentation

The experiment was conducted at the Agronomy Field Laboratory, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh during November 2016 to April 2017. The experimental field is located at 24°75'N latitude and 90°50'E longitude at an altitude of 18 m. The field belonging to the Sonatala series of Old Brahmaputra Floodplain Agro ecological Zone (AEZ-9) was a medium high land with well drained silt loam texture and noncalcareous dark arev floodplain soils having pH 6.5 and low in organic matter (1.67 %) content (UNDP and FAO, 1988). The experiment comprised two tropical sugarbeet varieties viz. PAC-60008 (V₁) and SV-887 (V₂) nine nutrient and management viz. Cowdung @ 10 t ha^{-1} (F₁), Poultry manure @ 5 t ha^{-1} (F₂), Recommended dose of chemical fertilizer (NPKSZnB @ 135-25-133-18-3.5-1.2 kg 75% NPK and ha⁻¹) (F_3), other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹ (F_4), 75% NPK and other inorganic

5 t ha⁻¹ (F_5), 50% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹ (F_6), and 50% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹ (F₇), 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 5 t ha-1 (F_8), 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 2.5 t ha-1 (F₉). The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design with 3 replications. The size of each unit plot was 2.5 m × 2.0 m. The distances between two adjacent plots were 0.5 m and that between two blocks was 1m. At the time of final land preparation. respective unit plots were fertilized with different levels of cow dung and poultry according manure to treatments. The manures were thoroughly mixed with the soil. Nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, sulphur, zinc and boron were applied in the form of urea, triple super phosphate, muriate of potash, gypsum, zinc sulphate and boric acid, respectively. Whole amount of triple super phosphate, muriate of potash, gypsum, zinc sulphate and boric acid were applied at final land preparation as specified in the treatments. Urea was applied in three equal splits at 30, 50 and 70 days after sowing (DAS). Seeds were sown in rows on the ridge with 50 cm \times 20 cm spacing two seeds hill⁻¹on 30 0 November 2016. Thinning was done at 35 DAS leaving one healthy plant hill⁻¹. Gap filling was also done at 30 DAS from the out strips extra seedlings of the same age to

fertilizers + poultry manure @

optimum have population. Three hand weedings were done at 15, 30, 45 and 60 DAS. The crop was irrigated four times at 45, 70, 95 and 120 DAS. Earthing up was done at 60 DAS to facilitate soil moisture to the root for its maximum arowth. The fungicide named "Score" was sprayed @ 3ml L⁻¹ of water by hand sprayer. The insect pests (Sugarbeet caterpillar, red beetle) were controlled by spraying Nitro-505EC @ 2 ml L^{-1} (5 L ha⁻¹).

Plant height and leaf number plant⁻¹

Five plants were randomly selected immediately after emergence and marked with bamboo sticks in each plot excluding border rows to record the data on plant height and number of leaves plant¹at 20-day intervals beginning 40 up to 100 DAS.

Measurement of leaf chlorophyll content

Chlorophyll meter values (SPAD) were recorded using portable SPAD meter а (Model SPAD-502, Minolta crop, Ramsey, NJ) at 20-day intervals, beginning 40 DAS DAS. upto 100 The measures instrument transmission of red light at 650 nm, at which chlorophyll absorbs light. and transmission of infrared light at 940 nm, at which no absorption occurs. The chlorophyll meter readings have been found to be positively correlated with destructive chlorophyll measurements in many crop species (Zhu et al., 2012) and considered useful as а indicator of the need of N top

PSJ April-June, 2018

dressing during the crop growth. On the basis of these two transmission values, the instrument calculates a SPAD value that is well correlated with chlorophyll content (Paul *et al.*, 2018).

Brix (%) measurement

Percent brix were measured hand bv refractometer (ATAGO, Japan) at maturity stage beginning from 120 DAS at 15-day intervals up to 150 DAS (at harvest). Five beets of each plot were randomly collected. A sharp knife was used to remove the outer skin of sugarbeet root and sliced into small pieces as well as to extract a drop of juice by using mortar and pestle. Then the juice was transferred into the prism of the refractometer and also closed the day light plate to the accurate get measurement of brix (%) observed by eyepiece and then averaged to get mean data plot⁻¹.

Yield components and yield

After harvesting, plants were washed and cleaned by removing dead and dried leaves and soil adhering to beets. Data on plant characters and yield components were recorded from ten randomly selected plants from each plot. Beet yield was recorded from the whole plot harvest and converted to t ha⁻¹.

Statistical Analysis

All the recorded data were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) using a computer package MSTAT-C program. The treatment mean differences were adjudged by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) Gomez and Gomez (1984).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Plant height

Plant height was significantly influenced by the interaction between sugarbeet variety integrated and nutrient management at 40 and 100 DAS (Table 1). The plant height progressively increased over time attaining the highest at final sampling date (100 DAS).At 40 DAS, the tallest plant (23.00 cm) was recorded in $V_1 \times F_5$ (PAC-60008 fertilized with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹) that was at par with V₁ x F₆ (PAC-60008 fertilized with 50% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹), $V_1 \times F_7$ (PAC-60008 fertilized with 50% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 5 t ha-1), V₁ x F₂(PAC-60008 fertilized with Poultry manure @ 5 t ha-1), V₂ x F₅ (SV-887 fertilized with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 5 t ha-1) and the lowest plant (19.00 cm) was recorded in $V_2 \times F_7$ (SV-887 fertilized with 50%) NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 5 t ha-1) while at 100 DAS the tallest plant (57.25 cm) was obtained in V₁× F₃ (PAC-60008 fertilized with NPKSZnB @ 135-25-133-18-3.5-1.2 kg ha⁻¹) which was at F₅(SV-887 par with $V_2 \times$ fertilized with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻

 $^{1}), V_{2} \times$ F₈(SV-887 fertilized with 75% NPK and other fertilizers inorganic + cowdung @ 5 t ha⁻¹), $V_1 \times F_9$ (PAC-60008 fertilized with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹), $V_2 \times F_6$ (SV-887) fertilized with 50% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹),V₂ x F₃ (SV-887 fertilized with NPKSZnB @ 135-25-133-18-3.5-1.2 kg ha⁻¹), $V_2x F_4(SV-$ 887 fertilized with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹), $V_1 x$ F₄ (PAC-60008 fertilized with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹ and the shortest plant (40.67 cm) was found in $V_1 \times$ F1 (PAC-60008 fertilized with cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹) (Table 1). Genotypic characteristics with higher dose of nutrient might be responsible higher plant height in sugarbeet.

Leaf number

Number of leaves plant⁻¹ with the interaction of variety and integrated nutrient management showed substantial differences over time. Table 2 shows that the leaf plant⁻¹gradually production increased in course of time and reached maximum at 100 DAS. The highest number of leaves $plant^{-1}(36.83)$ was recorded in $V_2 \times F_5$ (SV-887 fertilized with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹) which was at par with $V_2 \times F_8$ (SV-887 fertilized with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 5 t ha⁻¹) and the lowest number of leaves plant⁻¹(24.17) was found in $V_1 \times F_2(PAC-60008)$ fertilized with poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹.

SPAD value

The SPAD value or chlorophyll meter values of sugarbeet are illustrated in Table 3. SPAD value of sugarbeet was significantly influenced by the interaction varietv between and integrated nutrient management at all dates of sampling. The SPAD value showed an increasing trend and reached maximum at 80 DAS and thereafter declined irrespective of treatment differences. Similar trend of SPAD value of various crops was reported elsewhere (Tajulet al., 2013; Islam et al., 2014; Paul et al., 2018). The highest SPAD values of 40 and 60 DAS (40.30 and 66.33. respectively) were recorded in $V_2 \times F_5$ (SV-887 fertilized with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹) while at 80 and 100 DAS (87.20 and 51.87 respectively), the highest SPAD values were found in $V_2 \times F_4$ (SV-887 fertilized with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹) and V_2 × F₃ (SV-887 fertilized with NPKSZnB @ 135-25-133-18-3.5-1.2 kg ha⁻¹), and the lowest SPAD values of 40 and 60 DAS (28.47 and 37.63 respectively) were recorded F₅(PAC-60008 in V₁ Х fertilized 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹) and V₁ x F₁(PAC-60008 fertilized with cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹) while at 80 and 100 DAS (43.93 and 37.90 respectively), the lowest SPAD values were found in and V1 x F1(PAC-

60008 fertilized with Cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹) and V₁ x F₇(PAC-60008 fertilized with 50% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹), respectively (Table 3).

Top length and top yield

The highest top length (50.33 cm) at harvest were recorded in $V_2 \times F_3$ (SV-887 fertilized with NPKSZnB @ 135-25-133-18-3.5-1.2 kg ha⁻¹) while the lowest one (30.00 cm) was recorded in $V_1 \times F_2$ (PAC-60008 fertilized with poultry manure 5 @ t ha⁻¹). The highest beet top yield (38.67t ha⁻¹) was found in V₁× F₉ (PAC-60008 fertilized with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 2.5 ha⁻¹),which t was statistically identical with $V_2 \times$ (SV-887 fertilized with F₃ NPKSZnB @ 135-25-133-18-3.5-1.2 kg ha⁻¹) while the lowest one (20.00 t ha⁻¹) was recorded in $V_1 \times F_1$ (PAC-60008 fertilized with cowdung 10 t ha⁻¹). Combined (a)application of inorganic fertilizer with manure beet vield increased top compared to sole application of manure as well as inorganic fertilizer was reported by Balakrishnan and Selvakumar (2008).

Yield components and beet yield

Root length was not significantly affected due to interaction effect of variety and integrated nutrient management. Table 4 shows that root length ranged 21.67 cm to 27.00 cm. Numerically the longest root (27.00 cm) was produced in $V_1 \times F_5$ (PAC-60008 fertilized with

75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹) and V₁ × F₉ (PAC-60008 fertilized with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹) while the shortest (21.67 cm) one was produced in $V_2 \times F_2$ (SV-887 fertilized with poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻ ¹). The highest beet girth (38.58 cm), average beet weight (0.98 kg) and beet yield (98.18 t ha⁻¹) were recorded in $V_1 \times F_5$ (PAC-60008 fertilized with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹), which were at par with $V_1 \times F_3$ (PAC-60008 fertilized with NPKSZnB @ 135-25-133-18-3.5-1.2 kg ha⁻ ¹) and $V_1 \times F_9$ (PAC-60008 fertilized with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹). The lowest beet girth (29.30 cm) was recorded in $V_1 \times F_1$ (PAC-60008 fertilized with cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹) while the lowest average beet weight (0.220 kg) and beet yield (22.10 t ha⁻¹) were obtained in $V_2 \times F_1$ (SV-887 fertilized with cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹). The longest root, highest beet girth and average beet weight plant⁻¹ contributed to the highest sugarbeet yield. Integrated nutrient management significantly influenced the yield components and root vield of sugarbeet. Balakrishnan and Selvakumar (2008) reported that 100% inorganic fertilizer through urea along with significantly manure increased beet yield which was comparable to 50% inorganic fertilizer along with manure.

Sugarbeet brix (%) was significant due to interaction between variety and nutrient management at all sampling dates. Table 5 shows that in course of time percent brix increased up to 135 DAS irrespective of treatment combination while in some it was decreased. cases Similar decreasing trend of brix (%) was reported by Paul et al. (2018). At 120 DAS, the highest brix (15.33%) was recorded in $V_1 \times F_9$ (PAC-60008 fertilized with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹) which was at par with $V_1 \times F_4$ (PAC-60008 fertilized with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹and the (12%)was lowest one obtained in $V_2 \times F_3$ (SV-887 fertilized with NPKSZnB @ 135-25-133-18-3.5-1.2 kg ha ¹), which was similar to $V_2 \times$ F₆(SV-887 fertilized with 50% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹). Table 5 indicates that at 135 DAS, the highest brix (17.67%) was recorded in V₁ \times F₆ (PAC-60008 fertilized with 50% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹) and V_2 × F₂ (SV-887 fertilized with poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹), which was at par with $V_1 \times F_9$ (PAC-60008 fertilized with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹), V₁ x F₄ (PAC-60008 fertilized with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 10 t ha-1), $V_1 \times F_8$ (PAC-60008 fertilized with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 5 t ha⁻¹), $V_2 \times$ F₅(SV-887 fertilized with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹), V₁ × F₂ (PAC-60008 fertilized with poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹), $V_1 \times F_3$ (PAC-60008 fertilized with NPKSZnB @ 135-25-133-18-3.5-1.2 kg ha⁻¹), $V_1 \times F_7$ (PAC-60008 fertilized with 50% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹), while the lowest one (15%) was found in $V_2 \times F_3$ (SV-887 fertilized with NPKSZnB @ 135-25-133-18-3.5-1.2 kg ha⁻¹). At 150 DAS, the highest brix (18%) was recorded in $V_1 \times F_7$ (PAC-

Vol. XXXIII, No.02

60008 fertilized with 50% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 5 t ha¹) which was as good as the combination of $V_1 \times F_5$ (PAC-60008 fertilized with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹), $V_1 \times F_2$ (PAC-60008 fertilized with poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹), $V_1 \times F_4$ (PAC-60008 fertilized with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹) while the lowest brix (14.67%) was found in $V_2 \times F_2$ (SV-887 fertilized with poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹) and V₂ × F₈ (SV-887 with 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 5 t ha⁻¹).

CONCLUSION

From the findings of the present experiment, it can be concluded that sugarbeet variety PAC-60008 fertilized with 75% recommended dose of NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹ appears as the promising combination in terms of beet yield.

Table-1Interaction effects of variety and integrated nutrient management on plant
height at different days after sowing of tropical sugarbeet

Interaction (Variety x	Plant height (cm)				
integrated nutrient	Days after sowing (DAS)				
management)	40	60	80	100	
V1 X F1	19.75ef	32.50	41.08	40.67h	
V1 X F2	21.67abc	36.17	43.08	44.08 g	
V1 X F3	19.75ef	38.42	50.83	57.25a	
V ₁ x F ₄	20.50cde	38.50	48.08	53.92abcd	
V ₁ x F ₅	23.00a	39.75	49.08	51.08 de	
V ₁ x F ₆	22.17ab	38.33	48.42	53.50bcd	
V ₁ x F ₇	22.17ab	37.75	48.67	52.25cd	
V ₁ x F ₈	20.08def	37.42	48.00	52.00cd	
V ₁ x F ₉	20.50cde	38.33	48.17	55.17abc	
V ₂ x F ₁	20.17def	33.17	41.33	45.92fg	
$V_2 X F_2$	21.17bcde	35.75	44.58	48.33ef	
V ₂ x F ₃	21.33bcd	39.17	51.00	54.50abcd	
V ₂ x F ₄	20.42cdef	36.83	47.33	54.25abcd	
V ₂ x F ₅	22.33ab	40.75	51.42	56.33ab	
V ₂ x F ₆	20.25cdef	36.17	47.50	53.83abcd	
V ₂ x F ₇	19.00f	34.83	46.42	51.08de	
V ₂ x F ₈	21.50bcd	38.58	50.92	55.42abc	
V ₂ x F ₉	20.33cdef	37.50	49.08	52.67bcd	
Sīx	0.435	0.764	0.893	1.12	
Level of sig.	**	NS	NS	**	
CV (%)	3.61	3.55	3.26	3.75	

In a column, figures with same letter (s) or without letter do not differ significantly whereas figures with dissimilar letter differ significantly (as per DMRT) ** =Significant at 1% level of probability. NS= Not significant V_1 = PAC- 60008, V_2 = SV-887

 F_1 = Cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹, F_2 = Poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹, F_3 = Recommended dose of chemical fertilizer (NPKSZnB @ 135-25-133-18-3.5-1.2 kg ha⁻¹), F_4 = 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹, $F_5 =$ 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹, $F_6 =$ 50% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹, $F_7 =$ 50% NPK and other inorganic

Table-2 Interaction effects of variety and integrated nutrient management on number of leaves plant⁻¹ at different days after sowing of tropical sugarbeet

Interaction (Variety x	Number of leaves plant ⁻¹				
integrated nutrient	Days after sowing (DAS)				
management)	40	60	80	100	
V ₁ x F ₁	7.75cde	11.67ef	17.50defg	29.42de	
V ₁ x F ₂	7.91abcd	11.42f	18.17cdefg	24.17i	
V ₁ x F ₃	7.08f	11.83def	17.42efg	27.25fg	
V ₁ x F ₄	7.00f	11.92cdef	16.92g	30.00d	
V ₁ x F ₅	7.58def	11.83def	18.83bcde	25.83gh	
V ₁ x F ₆	7.58def	12.58bcd	18.50cdef	28.42def	
V ₁ x F ₇	7.92bcd	12.58bcd	18.25cdefg	27.67f	
V ₁ x F ₈	7.25ef	11.67ef	17.25fg	29.83de	
V ₁ x F ₉	7.75cde	12.42bcde	18.33cdef	29.58de	
$V_2 X F_1$	8.00abcd	12.42bcde	18.58cdef	25.33hi	
$V_2 \times F_2$	8.58a	12.75bc	18.92bcd	29.67de	
$V_2 \times F_3$	8.50ab	13.00b	18.75bcde	33.17c	
$V_2 \times F_4$	8.00abcd	12.50bcde	19.25bc	28.17ef	
V ₂ x F ₅	8.33abc	13.92a	21.42a	36.83a	
V ₂ x F ₆	8.00abcd	12.92b	18.58cdef	34.92b	
V ₂ x F ₇	7.25ef	12.17bcdef	18.08cdefg	32.08c	
$V_2 X F_8$	8.16abcd	12.92b	20.00b	35.67ab	
V ₂ x F ₉	7.91bcd	12.50bcde	18.42cdef	28.33def	
Sx	0.198	0.265	0.424	0.540	
Level of sig.	**	**	**	**	
CV (%)	4.40	3.70	3.96	3.14	

In a column, figures with same letter (s) or without letter do not different significantly whereas figures with dissimilar letter differ significantly (as per DMRT).

** =Significant at 1% level of probability. NS= Not significant V_1 = PAC-60008, V_2 = SV-887

 F_1 = Cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹, F_2 = Poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹, F_3 = Recommended dose of chemical fertilizer (NPKSZnB @ 135-25-133-18-3.5-1.2 kg ha⁻¹), F_4 = 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹, $F_5 =$ 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹, $F_6 =$ 50% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹, $F_7 =$ 50% NPK and other inorganic

Table-3Interaction effects of variety and integrated nutrient management on
chlorophyll content at different days after sowing of tropical sugarbeet

Interaction (Variety	Chlorophyll content (SPAD value)				
x integrated nutrient	Days after sowing (DAS)				
management)	40	60	80	100	
V ₁ x F ₁	29.73hi	37.63j	43.93g	40.27def	
V ₁ x F ₂	33.10efg	46.87ghi	52.20f	41.83bcdef	
V ₁ x F ₃	35.27cde	55.67cde	58.80e	44.40bcd	
V ₁ x F ₄	31.27ghi	35.70j	46.97g	41.33cdef	
V ₁ x F ₅	28.47i	46.50 ghi	46.43g	44.00bcde	
V ₁ x F ₆	30.23ghi	48.40fgh	46.23g	40.87def	
V ₁ x F ₇	29.27hi	44.77hi	53.50f	37.90f	
V ₁ x F ₈	30.90ghi	47.10ghi	54.13f	39.07ef	
V ₁ x F ₉	32.90efg	42.53i	58.37e	42.97bcde	
V ₂ x F ₁	32.00fgh	50.70efg	68.40cd	46.07bc	
V ₂ x F ₂	36.93bcd	52.77def	67.07cd	42.27bcdef	
V ₂ x F ₃	37.90abc	58.70bc	85.63a	51.87a	
V ₂ x F ₄	34.20def	52.53def	87.20a	42.17bcdef	
V ₂ x F ₅	40.30a	66.33a	60.73e	42.83bcdef	
V ₂ x F ₆	39.70ab	51.47efg	71.30c	46.47b	
V ₂ x F ₇	37.30bc	48.10fgh	75.80b	43.33bcde	
V ₂ x F ₈	35.33cde	62.47ab	66.33d	46.17bc	
V ₂ x F ₉	36.73cd	56.77cd	85.27a	42.77bcdef	
Sx	0.917	1.60	1.46	1.48	
Level of sig.	**	**	**	*	
CV (%)	4.67	5.52	4.04	5.96	

In a column, figures with same letter (s) or without letter do not different significantly whereas figures with dissimilar letter differ significantly (as per DMRT).

** =Significant at 1% level of probability.* =Significant at 5% level of probabilityNS= Not significant V_1 = PAC-60008, V_2 = SV-887

 F_1 = Cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹, F_2 = Poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹, F_3 = Recommended dose of chemical fertilizer (NPKSZnB @ 135-25-133-18-3.5-1.2 kg ha⁻¹), F_4 = 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹, $F_5 =$ 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹, $F_6 =$ 50% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹, $F_7 =$ 50% NPK and other inorganic

 Table-4
 Interaction effects of variety and integrated nutrient management on crop characters, yield components and yield of tropical sugarbeet

Interaction (Variety	Тор	Тор	Root	Beet	Average	Beet yield
x integrated nutrient	length	yield	length	girth	beet weight	(t ha⁻¹)
management)	(cm)	(t ha ⁻¹)	(cm)	(cm)	(kg)	
$V_1 X F_1$	34.27i	20.00i	23.70	29.30 h	0.27hi	26.67hi
$V_1 X F_2$	30.00j	21.67gh	22.83	30.00gh	0.30h	30.65h
$V_1 X F_3$	42.67de	36.00b	26.42	37.58ab	0.96a	95.52a
$V_1 X F_4$	38.75fg	26.67f	24.00	31.58fgh	0.86b	85.67b
V ₁ x F ₅	39.00fg	32.00c	27.00	38.58a	0.98a	98.18a
V ₁ x F ₆	41.00ef	29.67de	24.50	33.42cdef	0.69ef	68.93ef
V ₁ x F ₇	43.50d	30.33cd	22.00	34.00cdef	0.71e	71.07e
V ₁ x F ₈	38.00g	28.33e	24.92	31.83efg	0.79cd	79.33cd
V ₁ x F ₉	45.17bcd	38.67a	27.00	37.33ab	0.94a	94.33a
$V_2 \times F_1$	37.25gh	20.33hi	23.17	29.33h	0.22i	22.10i
$V_2 \times F_2$	35.33hi	23.00g	21.67	29.44h	0.27hi	27.38hi
V ₂ x F ₃	50.33a	38.33a	25.33	35.67bc	0.86b	86.03b
$V_2 \times F_4$	43.73d	31.00cd	25.97	34.60cd	0.84bc	84.27bc
V ₂ x F ₅	46.92bc	36.00b	25.83	34.75cd	0.88b	88.00b
$V_2 \times F_6$	45.17cd	30.67cd	22.17	32.58def	0.61g	61.27g
$V_2 \times F_7$	43.00de	31.00cd	24.25	34.25cde	0.64fg	64.10fg
V ₂ x F ₈	47.50b	30.00d	25.00	33.92cdef	0.65fg	65.13efg
V ₂ x F ₉	43.42d	35.00b	25.08	34.33cde	0.77d	77.33d
Sīx	0.766	0.547	0.787	0.763	0.018	1.99
Level of sig.	**	**	NS	**	**	**
CV (%)	3.21	3.17	5.58	3.95	4.37	5.08

In a column, figures with same letter (s) or without letter do not differ significantly whereas figures with dissimilar letter differ significantly (as per DMRT)

** =Significant at 1% level of probability. NS= Not significant V_1 = PAC- 60008, V_2 = SV-887

 F_1 = Cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹, F_2 = Poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹, F_3 = Recommended dose of chemical fertilizer (NPKSZnB @ 135-25-133-18-3.5-1.2 kg ha⁻¹), F_4 = 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹, $F_5 =$ 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹, $F_6 =$ 50% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹, $F_7 =$ 50% NPK and other inorganic

Table-5Interaction effects of variety and integrated nutrient management on brix
content (%) at different days after sowing of tropical sugarbeet

Interaction (Variety x	Brix content (%)				
integrated nutrient	Davs after sowing (DAS)				
management)	120	135	150		
V ₁ x F ₁	14.00bc	15.67def	16.33bcd		
V ₁ x F ₂	14.00bc	16.67abcd	17.00abc		
V ₁ x F ₃	13.33cde	16.67abcd	15.67cde		
V ₁ x F ₄	15.00ab	17.33ab	16.67abcd		
$V_1 X F_5$	14.00bc	16.00cdef	17.50ab		
$V_1 X F_6$	14.00bc	17.67a	16.00cde		
V ₁ x F ₇	14.00c	16.67abcd	18.00a		
V ₁ x F ₈	14.00bc	17.00abc	16.33bcd		
V ₁ x F ₉	15.33a	17.33ab	16.00cde		
V ₂ x F ₁	13.33cde	16.00cdef	15.67cde		
V ₂ x F ₂	13.67cd	17.67a	14.67e		
$V_2 \times F_3$	12.00f	15.00f	15.67cde		
$V_2 \times F_4$	12.33ef	15.33ef	16.00 cde		
V ₂ x F ₅	14.00bc	17.00abc	15.33de		
V ₂ x F ₆	12.00f	15.67def	15.67cde		
V ₂ x F ₇	13.33cde	16.33 bcde	15.67cde		
V ₂ x F ₈	12.33ef	15.67def	14.67e		
V ₂ x F ₉	12.67def	16.00cdef	16.33bcd		
Sx	0.333	0.311	0.437		
Level of sig.	**	**	**		
CV (%)	4.26	3.28	4.71		

In a column, figures with same letter (s) or without letter do not differ significantly whereas figures with dissimilar letter differ significantly (as per DMRT) ** =Significant at 1% level of probability. NS= Not significant

 $V_1 = PAC - 60008, V_2 = SV - 887$

 F_1 = Cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹, F_2 = Poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹, F_3 = Recommended dose of chemical fertilizer (NPKSZnB @ 135-25-133-18-3.5-1.2 kg ha⁻¹), F_4 = 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹, $F_5 =$ 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹, $F_6 =$ 50% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 10 t ha⁻¹, $F_7 =$ 50% NPK and other inorganic

fertilizers + poultry manure @ 5 t ha⁻¹, $F_8 = 75\%$ NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + cowdung @ 5 t ha⁻¹ and $F_9 =$ 75% NPK and other inorganic fertilizers + poultry manure @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The financial assistance of Bangladesh Agricultural University Research System (BAURES) (2015/08/BAU) to conduct the research project is thankfully acknowledged.

PSJ April-June, 2018

REFERENCES

Ahmad, I., B. Ahmad, S. Ali, H.Q. Fang, T. Liu, M. Kamran, Y. Wu, M.U. Rahman and S. Faisal, 2016. Organic and Inorganic Fertilization Influenced on Yield and Quality of Sugar Beet Genotypes, *Russian Agricultural Sciences*. 42 (3-4): 218–223.

Balakrishnan, A. and T. Selvakumar, 2008. Integrated nutrient management for tropical sugarbeet hydrids. *Sugar Tech.* 10 (2): 177-180.

Cai, B. and J. Ge. 2004. The effect of nitrogen amount on photosynthetic rate of sugar beet, *Nature Sci.*, 2 (2): 60–63.

Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez, 1984. Statistical procedure for agricultural research. John Wiley and Sons, New York, second edition. p.680.

Hergert, G.W. and R A. Nielsen, 2011. Effect of manure compost on sugar beet yield and quality.Conference Paper, March 2011. DOI: 10.5274/ASSBT.2011.88.

Islam, M.R., K.M.S. Haque, N. Akter and M.A. Karim, 2014. Leaf chlorophyll dynamics in wheat based on SPAD meter reading and its relationship with grain yield. *Scientia Agric.* 8 (1): 13-18.

Jagtap, S.M., M.B. Jadhav and R.V. Kulkarm, 2006.Effect of levels of NPK on yield and quality of suru sugarcane (cv. Co. 7527). *Indian Sugar.* 56:35–40.

Javaheri, M.A., N. Rashidand A. Baghizadeh, 2005. Influence of organic farm yard manure, potassium and boron on quantity and quality of sugar beet in Bardsirregion, J. Sugar Beet., 21 : 31–41.

Khan, D. 2003. The effect of application methodology and doses of nitrogen and phosphorus on sugar beet husbandry, in Annu. Tech. Rep. S.C.R.I., Mardan, pp. 72–73.

Oyedeji, S., D.A. Animasaun, A.A. Bello and O.O. Agboola, 2014. Effect of NPK and Poultry Manure on Growth, Yield, and Proximate Composition of Three Amaranths. *Journal of Botany*. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/828750</u>.

Pal, S., S.K. Paul, M.A.R.Sarkar and D.R.Gupta, 2016. Response on yield and protein content of fine aromatic rice varieties to integrated use of cowdung and inorganic fertilizers. *Journal of Crop and Weed.* 12 (1): 1-6.

Paul, S.K., U. Paul, MA.R. Sarkar and M.S. Hossain, 2018. Yield and quality of tropical sugarbeet as influenced by variety, spacing and fertilizer application. *Sugar Tech*. 20(2):175–181. doi.org/10.1007/s12355-017-0545-3.

Rahman, M.S., S. Khatun and M.K. Rahman, 2016. Sugarcane and sugar industry in Bangladesh: An overview. *Sugar Tech.* 18(6):627–635.

Salami, M., and S. Saadat, 2013. Study of potassium and nitrogen fertilizer levels on the yield of sugar beet in jolge cultivar. *The Journal of Novel Applied Sciences*. 2:94–100.

Soomro, A.F., S. Tunio, M.I. Keerio, I. Rajper, Q. Chachar and M.Y. Arain, 2014. Effect of inorganic NPK fertilizers under different proportions on growth, yield and juice quality of sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum L*). *Pure and Applied Biology.* 3(1): 10–18.

Tajul, M.I., M.M. Alam, S.M.M. Hossain and K. Naher, 2013. Influence of plant population and nitrogen-fertilizer at variouslevels on growth and growth efficiency of maize. *The Scientific World Journal.* 19(2): 150–159.

<u>Topcuoğlu</u>, B. and K. <u>Önal</u>, 2005. The effects of organic waste application on sugar beet: Part I. The effect of poultry manure on sugar beet. <u>https://www.researchgate.net/ publication/</u> 287073086.

UNDP and FAO, 1988. Land resources appraisal of Bangladesh for agricultural development report-2. BARC, Farmgate, Dhaka, pp. 212-221.

Zhu J., N. Tremblayand Y. Liang, 2012. Comparing SPAD and atLEAF values for chlorophyll assessment in crop species. Can. J. Soil Sci., 92: 645-648.